

RECEIVED

DAVID J. MEYER
VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF COUNSEL FOR
REGULATORY & GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
AVISTA CORPORATION
P.O. BOX 3727
1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727
TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316
FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851
DAVID.MEYER@AVISTACORP.COM

2011 JUL -5 AM 11:44
IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) CASE NO. AVU-E-11-01
OF AVISTA CORPORATION FOR THE)
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES)
AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND)
NATURAL GAS SERVICE TO ELECTRIC) DIRECT TESTIMONY
AND NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS IN THE) OF
STATE OF IDAHO) WILLIAM G. JOHNSON
)

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC ONLY)

1 I. INTRODUCTION

2 **Q. Please state your name, business address, and**
3 **present position with Avista Corporation.**

4 A. My name is William G. Johnson. My business
5 address is 1411 East Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington,
6 and I am employed by the Company as a Wholesale Marketing
7 Manager in the Energy Resources Department.

8 **Q. What is your educational background?**

9 A. I graduated from the University of Montana in
10 1981 with a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Political
11 Science/Economics. I obtained a Master of Arts Degree in
12 Economics from the University of Montana in 1985.

13 **Q. How long have you been employed by the Company**
14 **and what are your duties as a Wholesale Marketing Manager?**

15 A. I started working for Avista in April 1990 as a
16 Demand Side Resource Analyst. I joined the Energy
17 Resources Department as a Power Contracts Analyst in June
18 1996. My primary responsibilities involve power contract
19 origination and management and power supply regulatory
20 issues.

21 **Q. What is the scope of your testimony in this**
22 **proceeding?**

23 A. My testimony will 1) identify and explain the
24 proposed normalizing and pro forma adjustments to the
25 January 2010 through December 2010 test period power supply
26 revenues and expenses, and 2) describe the proposed level
27 of expense and retail revenue credit for The Power Cost

1 Adjustment (PCA) purposes, using the pro forma costs
2 proposed by the Company in this filing. My testimony also
3 shows the change in power supply expense incorporating the
4 Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment proposed by the Company
5 in this case.

6 **Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits to be introduced**
7 **in this proceeding?**

8 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit 6, Schedules 1
9 through 5, which were prepared under my supervision and
10 direction. Schedule 1 identifies the power supply expense
11 and revenue items that fall within the scope of my
12 testimony. A brief description of each adjustment is
13 provided in Schedule 2. Schedule 3 shows the pro forma
14 fuel costs and short-term purchase and sales by month for
15 each plant. The proposed authorized PCA power supply
16 expense and revenue, transmission expense and revenue, and
17 retail sales are shown in Schedule 4. Schedule 5
18 identifies the power supply expense and revenue without the
19 Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment, and is provided for
20 information purposes to isolate the impact of the Energy
21 Efficiency Load Adjustment on power supply expense.

22 **Q. Are there other Company witnesses providing**
23 **testimony regarding issues you are addressing?**

24 A. Yes. Company witness Mr. Kalich provides
25 detailed testimony on the AURORA model used by the Company
26 to develop short-term power purchase expense, fuel expense
27 and short-term power sales revenue included in my

1 Schedules. Mr. Ehrbar addresses the Energy Efficiency Load
2 Adjustment in his testimony.

3

4 **II. OVERVIEW OF PRO FORMA POWER SUPPLY ADJUSTMENT**

5 **Q. Please provide an overview of the pro forma power**
6 **supply adjustment.**

7 A. The pro forma power supply adjustment involves
8 the determination of revenues and expenses based on the
9 generation and dispatch of Company resources and expected
10 wholesale market power prices as determined by the AURORA
11 model simulation for the pro forma period under normal
12 weather and hydro generation conditions. In addition,
13 adjustments are made to reflect contract changes between
14 the test period and the pro forma period. The table below
15 shows total net power supply expense during the test period
16 and the pro forma period. For information purposes only,
17 the power supply expense¹ currently in base retail rates,
18 which is based on an October 2010 through September 2011
19 pro forma period, is also shown.

¹For the remainder of my testimony, for purposes of the power supply adjustment I will refer to the net of power supply revenues and expenses as power supply expense for ease of reference.

Power Supply Expense

	<u>System</u>
Power Supply Expense in Current Base Rates (Oct 2010 - Sep 2011 pro forma)	\$197,453,000
Actual Jan 10 - Dec 10 Power Supply Expense	\$190,323,000
Adjustment to Test Period	\$700,000
Proposed 2012 Pro forma Power Supply Expense - Unadjusted	\$191,023,000
Increase from Expense in Current Rates	-\$6,430,000

1
2 The net effect of my adjustments to the test year
3 power supply expense is an increase of \$700,000
4 (\$191,023,000 - \$190,323,000) on a system basis.

5 The decrease in power supply expense compared to the
6 authorized level in current base rates is \$6,430,000
7 (system) and \$2,240,212 (Idaho allocation).

8 **Q. What are the major factors driving the decreased**
9 **power supply expense in the pro forma year over the level**
10 **of power supply expense currently in base rates?**

11 A. The level of power supply expense currently in
12 base rates is \$197,453,000 (system number). This expense
13 level is based on an October 2010 through September 2011
14 pro forma period. This compares to the proposed 2012 pro
15 forma power supply expense of \$191,023,000, a decrease of
16 approximately \$6.4 million on a system basis and an Idaho
17 allocation of approximately \$2.2 million.

18 This decrease in pro forma power supply expense over
19 the expense currently in base rates is caused primarily by
20 two factors, lower loads and lower market prices for
21 natural gas and power. Loads are lower by 50.8 aMW from

1 the loads authorized in current based rates, which used a
2 pro forma load projection. The reduction in load is a
3 result of using historical test-year loads and including
4 the Energy Efficiency Load adjustment. The reduction in
5 load due to moving from a pro forma year load to a
6 historical test-year load is 30.7 aMW and the reduction in
7 load due to the Energy Efficiency load adjustment is 20.1
8 aMW.

9 Market prices for natural gas and power are both lower
10 than the level included in current base rates. The annual
11 average natural gas price is \$4.62/dth in this case versus
12 \$5.04/dth in current base rates. The annual average flat
13 power price is \$37.11/MWh in this case versus \$40.31/MWh in
14 current base rates.

15 Overall, the pro forma in this case has 17.3 aMW more
16 hydro generation than was in the 2010 general rate case.
17 The cost of the Mid-Columbia purchased generation, however,
18 is higher. This is primarily a result of the expiration of
19 the original Rocky Reach purchase agreement, which was
20 priced at project cost (approximately \$11.50/Mwh). The
21 Rocky Reach and Rock Island purchase in this pro forma was
22 acquired through a competitive bid at market prices. The
23 costs for the other Mid-Columbia generation from the Wells
24 project and the Priest Rapids project are also higher.

25 The net expense of long-term contracts is higher in
26 this case. This is primarily a result of the expiration of
27 the Grant PUD Displacement purchase on September 30, 2011,

1 in which the Company purchases power at a rate equivalent
2 to the BPA Priority Firm price. It also reflects the
3 expiration of some load following sales.

4 The net (net of generation value) cost of thermal and
5 natural gas-fired generation is higher due to increased
6 fuel expense and reduced value of the power produced.

7 The table below shows the primary factors driving the
8 decrease in power supply expense compared to the level in
9 current base rates.

Power Supply Expense Change 2012 Pro forma vs. Oct 2010 - Sep 2011 Authorized		
<u>Factor</u>	2011 to 2012 Pro forma <u>Change</u> \$millions	Idaho <u>Allocation</u> \$millions
Hydro Generation & Mid C Costs	\$4.4	\$1.5
Change in System Load	-\$14.9	-\$5.2
Thermal Plant Costs	\$2.3	\$0.8
CCCT Operating Margin	\$6.9	\$2.4
Long-Term Contract Changes	\$5.4	\$1.9
Market Prices (Natural Gas & Power)	-\$10.5	-\$3.7
2011 to 2012 Power Supply Increase	-\$6.4	-\$2.2

10

11

12

III. PRO FORMA POWER SUPPLY ADJUSTMENTS

Overview

13
14 **Q. Please identify the specific power supply cost**
15 **items that are covered by your testimony and the total**
16 **adjustment being proposed.**

17 A. Schedule 1 identifies the power supply expense
18 and revenue items that fall within the scope of my

1 testimony. These revenue and expense items are related to
2 power purchases and sales, fuel expenses, transmission
3 expense, and other miscellaneous power supply expenses and
4 revenues.

5 **Q. What is the basis for the adjustments to the test**
6 **period power supply revenues and expenses?**

7 A. The purpose of the adjustments to the test period
8 is to normalize power supply expenses for normal weather
9 and normal hydroelectric generation and to reflect current
10 forward natural gas prices and other known and measurable
11 changes for the pro forma period.

12 The AURORA Model, as explained by Mr. Kalich,
13 dispatches Company resources using the current forward
14 natural gas prices and calculates the level of generation
15 from the Company's thermal resources, fuel costs for
16 thermal resources, and the short-term purchases and sales
17 necessary to balance system requirements and resources.

18 **Q. Are there any changes in how the pro forma in**
19 **this case was developed versus the authorized power supply**
20 **expense currently in base rates?**

21 A. No. With the exception of reducing system load
22 due to the use of historical versus pro forma load and the
23 Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment, the process to develop
24 the pro forma net power supply expense in this case is the
25 same as the process used to develop authorized power supply
26 expense in current base rates. The Energy Efficiency Load
27 Adjustment, as further explained later in my testimony,

1 lowers the system load used to develop the pro forma to a
2 level below the weather adjusted test-year load.

3 A brief description of each adjustment is provided in
4 Schedule 2. Detailed workpapers have been provided to the
5 Commission coincident to this filing to support each of the
6 pro forma revenues and expenses. The detailed workpapers
7 for each adjustment show the actual revenue or expense in
8 the test period, and the pro forma revenue or expense.

9 **Long-Term Contracts**

10 **Q. How are long-term power contracts included in the**
11 **pro forma?**

12 A. Long-term power contracts are included in the pro
13 forma by including the energy receipt or obligation
14 associated with the contract in the AURORA model and
15 including the cost or revenue in the pro forma net power
16 supply expense.

17 **Q. Are there any new power purchases or sales in the**
18 **pro forma that are not in the current base rates?**

19 A. Yes. This pro forma includes the expenses and
20 generation related to the purchase of a 3.0% slice of the
21 output of the Rocky Reach and Rock Island dams owned and
22 operated by Chelan PUD. This purchase was made through a
23 competitive auction and has a term of July 2011 through
24 December 2014. The purchase was made to maintain an
25 adequate level of Mid-Columbia generation to provide load
26 shaping and ramping capabilities at the Mid-Columbia, which

1 allows the Company to operate its own hydro facilities in a
2 more efficient manner.

3 **Q. Are there any long-term power purchases or sales**
4 **that are in current base rates but not in this pro forma?**

5 A. Yes. Four 25 aMW long-term market purchases
6 ended December 31, 2010. The Company's long-term purchase
7 of Rocky Reach generation at project cost ends October 31,
8 2011. The Grant PUD Displacement power purchase ends
9 September 30, 2011. The Black Creek purchase ended March
10 25, 2011. On the revenue side, the load following contract
11 with Northwestern Energy ended January 9, 2011, and the
12 load following contract with NatuEner ends August 31, 2011.

13 **Short-Term Power Purchases and Sales**

14 **Q. How are short-term transactions included in the**
15 **pro forma?**

16 A. System balancing electric power purchases and
17 sales are an output of the AURORA model. The model
18 calculates both the volumes and price of short-term
19 purchases and sales that balance the system's generation
20 and long-term purchases with retail load and other
21 obligations. The price of the short-term transactions
22 represents the price of spot market power as determined by
23 the AURORA model. The pro forma does not include any of
24 the actual short-term transactions already entered into for
25 the 2012 pro forma period.

26 **Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment**

1 **Q. How was the net power supply expense adjusted for**
2 **the proposed Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment that is**
3 **explained in Mr. Ehrbar's testimony?**

4 A. The power supply pro forma incorporates the
5 reduction in Idaho retail sales shown in Table 12 of Mr.
6 Ehrbar's direct testimony, which was then grossed up for
7 losses and then divided by Idaho's allocation to create a
8 system load reduction. The power supply pro forma was then
9 developed using the lower system load incorporating the
10 Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment.

11 **Q. What power supply expenses are affected using the**
12 **Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment?**

13 A. The only accounts affected in the power supply
14 pro forma for the Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment are
15 Account 555, Purchased Power and Account 447, Sales for
16 Resale. Purchased power expense decreased by \$3,323,000 on
17 a system basis (\$1,150,000 Idaho allocation) and Sales for
18 Resale increased by \$3,445,000 on a system basis
19 (\$1,200,000 Idaho allocation). All other power supply
20 accounts are unaffected by the Energy Efficiency Load
21 Adjustment. Schedule 5 is provided for information
22 purposes and shows the power supply pro forma excluding the
23 Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment. The difference between
24 net power supply costs in Schedule 5 and Schedule 1
25 reflects the change in net power supply costs associated
26 with the Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment.

27 **Thermal Fuel Expense**

1 **Q. What is the authorized power supply expense and**
2 **revenue proposed by the Company for the PCA?**

3 A. The proposed authorized level of annual system
4 power supply expense is \$172,632,863. This is the sum of
5 Accounts 555 (Purchased Power), 501 (Thermal Fuel), 547
6 (Fuel), less Account 447 (Sale for Resale). The proposed
7 level of Transmission Expense is \$17,641,176. The proposed
8 level of Transmission Revenue is \$11,524,732.

9 The level of retail sales MWh and the retail revenue
10 credit is also updated. The proposed authorized level of
11 retail sales to be used in the PCA is the January 2010
12 through December 2010 weather adjusted retail sales
13 incorporating the Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment. The
14 proposed load change adjustment rate is \$26.33/MWh, which
15 is the energy classification of the average cost of
16 production/transmission in this filing developed by Company
17 witness Ms. Knox.

18 The proposed authorized PCA power supply expense and
19 revenue, transmission expense and revenue, and retail sales
20 is shown in Schedule 4.

21 **Q. Does that conclude your pre-filed direct**
22 **testimony?**

23 A. Yes.

DAVID J. MEYER
VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF COUNSEL FOR
REGULATORY & GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
AVISTA CORPORATION
P.O. BOX 3727
1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727
TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316
FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851
DAVID.MEYER@AVISTACORP.COM

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)	CASE NO. AVU-E-11-01
OF AVISTA CORPORATION FOR THE)	
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES)	
AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND)	
NATURAL GAS SERVICE TO ELECTRIC)	EXHIBIT NO. 6
AND NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS IN THE)	
STATE OF IDAHO)	WILLIAM G. JOHNSON
)	

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC ONLY)

Avista Corp.
Power Supply Pro forma - Idaho Jurisdiction
System Numbers - Jan 2010 - Dec 2010 Actual and Jan 2012 - Dec 2012 Pro Forma
Historic 2010 Loads w/ Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment, Without Actual ST Transactions

RECEIVED

11 JUL 22 AM 10:08

IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Line No.		Jan 10 - Dec 10 Actuals	Adjustment	Jan 12 - Dec 12 Pro forma
<u>555 PURCHASED POWER</u>				
1	Modeled Short-Term Market Purchases	\$0	\$21,271	\$21,271
2	Actual Short-Term Market Purchases	159,193	-159,193	0
3	Rocky Reach	2,172	-2,172	0
4	Rocky Reach/Rock Island Purchase	0	11,384	11,384
5	Wells - Avista Share	1,400	499	1,899
6	Wells - Colville Tribe's Share	9,496	-9,496	0
7	Priest Rapids Project	5,609	785	6,394
8	Wanapum	-1,228	1,228	0
9	Grant Displacement	5,653	-5,653	0
10	Douglas Settlement	334	246	580
11	Lancaster Capacity Payment	21,475	578	22,053
12	Lancaster Variable O&M Payments	2,689	-223	2,466
13	Lancaster BPA Reserves	824	-824	0
14	WNP-3	13,920	-368	13,552
15	Deer Lake-IP&L	6	0	6
16	Small Power	1,079	13	1,092
17	Stimson	1,964	402	2,366
18	Spokane-Upriver	2,055	884	2,939
19	Black Creek Index Purchase	234	-234	0
20	Non-Monetary	90	-90	0
21	Contract A	6,789	-6,789	0
22	Contract B	6,745	-6,745	0
23	Contract C	6,658	-6,658	0
24	Contract D	7,556	-7,556	0
25	Clearwater Paper Co-Gen Purchase	18,720	-18,720	0
26	Ancillary Services	631	-631	0
27	Stateline Wind Purchase	3,016	530	3,546
28	Total Account 555	277,080	-187,532	89,548
<u>557 OTHER EXPENSES</u>				
29	Broker Commission Fees	366	0	366
30	REC Purchases (SMUD)	349	1	350
31	Natural Gas Fuel Purchases	119,116	-119,116	0
32	Total Account 557	119,831	-119,115	716
<u>501 THERMAL FUEL EXPENSE</u>				
33	Kettle Falls - Wood Fuel	10,551	1,534	12,085
34	Kettle Falls - Start-up Gas	30	0	30
35	Colstrip - Coal	15,984	3,803	19,787
36	Colstrip - Oil	139	0	139
37	Total Account 501	26,704	5,336	32,040
<u>547 OTHER FUEL EXPENSE</u>				
38	Coyote Springs Gas	53,491	-15,894	37,597
39	Coyote Springs 2 Gas Transportation	7,891	-58	7,833
40	Lancaster Gas	46,902	-6,544	40,358
41	Lancaster Gas Transportation	5,837	956	6,793
42	Lancaster Gas Transportation Optimization	0	-409	-409
43	Gas Transportation for BP, NE and KFCT	32	0	32
44	Rathdrum Gas	545	-544	1
45	Northeast CT Gas	62	-62	0
46	Boulder Park Gas	505	-472	33
47	Kettle Falls CT Gas	185	-136	49
48	Total Account 547	115,450	-23,163	92,287

REVISED JULY 21, 2011

Exhibit No. 6
Case No. AVU-E-11-01
W. Johnson, Avista
Schedule 1, p. 1 of 2

Idaho Public Utilities Commission
Office of the Secretary
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2010
Boise, Idaho

Avista Corp.
Power Supply Pro forma - Idaho Jurisdiction
System Numbers - Jan 2010 - Dec 2010 Actual and Jan 2012 - Dec 2012 Pro Forma
Historic 2010 Loads w/ Energy Efficiency Load Adjustment, Without Actual ST Transactions

Line No.	Jan 10 - Dec 10 Actuals	Adjustment	Jan 12 - Dec 12 Pro forma
<u>565 TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRICITY BY OTHERS</u>			
49	789	0	789
50	9	0	9
51	65	-65	0
52	321	0	321
53	8,428	2	8,430
54	4,541	-38	4,503
55	1,173	0	1,173
56	1,253	0	1,253
57	45	0	45
58	139	0	139
59	337	0	337
60	644	-1	643
61	17,744	-102	17,642
<u>536 WATER FOR POWER</u>			
62	853	0	853
<u>549 MISC OTHER GENERATION EXPENSE</u>			
63	160	0	160
64	557,822	-324,575	233,247
<u>447 SALES FOR RESALE</u>			
65	0	30,778	30,778
66	219,096	-219,096	0
67	1,749	0	1,749
68	1,693	688	2,381
69	80	0	80
70	419	0	419
71	3,257	-3,257	0
72	551	-551	0
73	27,761	-21,926	5,835
74	631	-631	0
75	255,237	-213,995	41,242
<u>456 OTHER ELECTRIC REVENUE</u>			
76	700	0	700
77	111,280	-111,280	0
78	111,980	-111,280	700
<u>453 SALES OF WATER AND WATER POWER</u>			
79	282	0	282
80	367,499	-325,275	42,224
81	190,323	700	191,023

REVISED JULY 21, 2011

Exhibit No. 6
Case No. AVU-E-11-01
W. Johnson, Avista
Schedule 1, p. 2 of 2

Avista Corp.
Brief Description of Power Supply Adjustments

Line No.

- 1 **Modeled Short-term Market Purchases** - Short-term purchases from the AURORA Dispatch Simulation Model.
- 2 **Actual ST Market Purchases** – No actual transactions are included in the pro forma.
- 3 **Rocky Reach** - The pro forma cost for Rocky Reach is \$0 because the contract ends 10-31-11.
- 4 **Rocky Reach/Rock Island Purchase** – The pro forma expense is based on a purchase of a portion of Rocky Reach and Rock Island generation beginning July 1, 2011.
- 5 **Wells – Avista Share** - Wells' costs are based on the Company's 3.34% share of total cost at project costs.
- 6 **Wells – Colville Tribe's Share** - The 2010 test-year included 4.5% of Well's output purchased from the Colville Indian Tribe.
- 7 **Priest Rapids Project** - Priest Rapids Project expense includes the expense related to the purchased power from the Priest Rapids development and power from the Wanapum development.
- 8 **Wanapum** – The Wanapum contract ended 10-31-2009. The 2010 test-year included a true-up of 2009 payments.
- 9 **Grant Displacement** – The 2010 test-year expense included a purchase from Grant PUD that ends 9-30-11.
- 10 **Douglas Settlement** – Douglas Settlement is for power Avista purchases from Douglas PUD per the 1989 Settlement Agreement.
- 11 **Lancaster Capacity Payment** – The Lancaster capacity payment includes a capital payment and a fixed O&M payment.
- 12 **Lancaster Variable O&M Payments** – the Lancaster variable O&M payment is based on the variable O&M rate in the Lancaster Power Purchase

Agreement multiplied times the MWh of Lancaster generation in the pro forma.

- 13 **Lancaster BPA Reserves** – The pro forma expense is \$0 because Lancaster was moved (electronically) into Avista’s balancing authority on March 29, 2011 so purchases of generation reserves from BPA are longer required.
- 14 **WNP-3** - Pro forma costs are based on the midpoint. The pro forma uses the actual midpoint of the ceiling and floor prices identified in the contract for contract year 2010 through 2011 escalated at the 5-year average escalation rate to the pro forma period.
- 15 **Deer Lake-IP&L** - Pro forma expense is for power purchased from Inland Power to serve Avista customers.
- 16 **Small Power** – Pro forma costs are based on 5-year average generation and an average contract rate.
- 17 **Stimson** – This purchase is from the cogeneration plant at Plummer, Idaho. Pro forma costs are based on 5-year average generation and pro forma period contract rates.
- 18 **Spokane-Upriver** – Pro forma expense is based on a purchase of the net of pumping (at the plant) generation at a contract based on Washington’s Schedule 62 avoided cost rates.
- 19 **Black Creek Index Purchase** - Pro forma expense is \$0 because the contract ended March 25, 2011.
- 20 **Non-Monetary** - Expense is normalized to \$0 in the pro forma.
- 21 **Contract A** – This contract ended 12-31-10.
- 22 **Contract B** - This contract ended 12-31-10.
- 23 **Contract C** - This contract ended 12-31-10.
- 24 **Contract D** - This contract ended 12-31-10.
- 25 **Clearwater Paper Co-Gen Purchase** – Clearwater Paper purchase is directly assigned in Idaho.
- 26 **Ancillary Services** – Pro forma expense is \$0 because this is an intra-utility expense (matching revenue in Account 447).

- 27 **Stateline Wind Purchase** – Pro forma expense is \$0 because the contract was scheduled to end 12-31-2011. (It was extended to 4-30-2014 on April 20, 2011, after the pro forma expense was developed).
- 28 **Total Account 555**
- 29 **Broker Commission Fees** – Pro forma expense is associated with purchases and sales of electricity and natural gas fuel.
- 30 **REC Purchases** – Expense is for the purchase of California certifiable renewable Energy Credits to support the SMUD Sale.
- 31 **Natural Gas Fuel Purchases** – This is the expense for natural gas purchased for but not consumed for generation. Pro forma expense is \$0 because all gas purchased is assumed to be used for generation, and included in Account 547.
- 32 **Total Account 557**
- 33 **Kettle Falls Wood Fuel Cost** – Pro forma fuel expense is based on the generation of the Kettle Falls plant in the AURORA Model and the unit cost of available fuel.
- 34 **Kettle Falls-Start-up Gas** – Pro forma expense is for start-up gas at Kettle Falls and is based on the test-year expense.
- 35 **Colstrip Coal Cost** – Pro forma fuel expense is based on the generation of the Colstrip plant in the AURORA Model and the unit cost of fuel under the contract.
- 36 **Colstrip Oil** – Pro forma expense is for start-up oil expense. Pro forma is based on the test-year expense.
- 37 **Total Account 501**
- 38 **Coyote Springs Gas** – Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA Model based on the pro forma unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- 39 **CS2 Gas Transportation** – This expense is for transportation of natural gas from AECO to the Coyote Springs 2 plant.

- 40 **Lancaster Gas** - Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA Model based on the pro forma unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- 41 **Lancaster Gas Transportation** - This expense is for natural gas transportation to the Lancaster plant.
- 42 **Lancaster Gas Transportation Optimization** - This credit to expense is based on optimizing the gas transportation contracts for Coyote Springs 2 and Lancaster. In general, this involves trading the gas price spread between AECO (Canada) and Malin.
- 43 **Gas Transportation for BP, NE and KFCT** - This expense is for transportation of natural gas to serve Boulder Park, Northeast and Kettle Falls Combustion Turbine gas-fired plants.
- 44 **Rathdrum Gas** - Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA Model based on the pro forma unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- 45 **Northeast CT Gas** - Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA Model based on the pro forma unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant (including test firing), which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- 46 **Boulder Park Gas** - Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA Model based on the pro forma unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- 47 **Kettle Falls CT Gas** - Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA Model based on the pro forma unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- 48 **Total Account 547**
- 49 **WNP-3 Transmission** - Pro forma WNP-3 wheeling is based on 32.22 MW at a rate of \$2.04/kW/mo.
- 50 **Sand Dunes-Warden** - Pro forma expense is for a transmission expense with Grant PUD.
- 51 **Black Creek Wheeling** - Pro forma expense is \$0 because the contract ended March 25, 2011.

- 52 **Wheeling for System Sales and Purchases** – Pro forma expense is for short-term transmission purchases.
- 53 **PTP for Colstrip and Coyotes Springs 2**– This wheeling is for the transmission of 196 MW from Colstrip at the Garrison substation and 272 MW from the Coyote Springs 2 plant to Avista’s system. Pro forma expense is based on 468 MW of capacity at a rate of \$1.501/kW/mo.
- 54 **PTP for Lancaster** – This wheeling is for the transmission from the Lancaster plant to Avista’s system. Pro forma expense is based on 250 MW of capacity at a rate of \$1.501/kW/mo.
- 55 **BPA Townsend-Garrison Wheeling** – This expense is for the transmission of Colstrip power from the Townsend substation to the Garrison substation.
- 56 **Avista on BPA Borderline** – This expense is to serve Avista load off of BPA transmission. Expense is based on Avista’s borderline loads priced at BPA’s NT transmission rates plus ancillary services cost and use of facilities charges.
- 57 **Kootenai for Worley** – This expense is for Avista load served using Kootenai’s facilities.
- 58 **Sagle-Northern Lights** – Expense is for transmission purchased from Northern Lights Utility to serve Avista customers.
- 59 **Garrison Burke** – Garrison Burke wheeling is an expense for the transmission of Colstrip energy above 196 MW from the Garrison substation over Northwestern Energy’s transmission system to the interconnection of Northwestern Energy and Avista.
- 60 **PGE Firm Wheeling** – PGE Firm wheeling reflects the cost of transmission from the John Day substation to COB (Intertie South) purchased from Portland General Electric. The Pro forma expense is based on 100 MW at the current rate of \$.53549/kW/mo.
- 61 **Total Account 565**
- 62 **Headwater Benefits Expense** – Pro forma expense is based on the expense for contract year September 2010 through August 2011.
- 63 **Rathdrum Municipal Payment** – This includes a payment in Jan. 2011 of \$160,000 to the city of Rathdrum for mitigation related to the Rathdrum generating facility.
- 64 **Total Expenses** – Sum of Accounts 555, 557, 501, 547, 565, 536, and 549.

- 65 **Modeled Short-Term Market Sales** - Short-term market sales from the AURORA Model simulation.
- 66 **Actual ST Market Sales** - No actual transactions are included in the pro forma.
- 67 **Peaker (PGE) Capacity Sale** – This pro forma revenue is based on 150 MW of capacity at a price of \$1/kW/mo less a contract servicing fee. This contract is related to the sales of capacity to Portland General Electric, which was monetized in 1998.
- 68 **Nichols Pumping Sale** – This is a sale of energy to other Colstrip Units 3 and 4 owners at the Mid-Columbia index price less \$2.05/MWh. Pro forma revenue is based on approximately 8 aMW at the market price (less \$2.05/MWh) as determined by the AURORA model.
- 69 **Sovereign/Kaiser DES** – This contract provides load control services to Kaiser's Trentwood plant. (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- 70 **Pend Oreille DES & Spinning Reserves** – This contract provides load control and spinning reserves for Pend Oreille PUD. (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- 71 **Northwestern Load Following** – Pro forma revenue is \$0 because the contract ended 1-9-11.
- 72 **NaturEner** – This contract provides load following capacity to a Montana wind facility. Contract ends 08-31-11.
- 73 **SMUD Sale** – Pro forma revenue is the expected margin (margin only, not including index priced energy) from the sale of energy and associated renewable energy credits.
- 74 **Ancillary Services** – Pro forma revenue is \$0 because it is intra-utility revenue (matching expense in Account 555).
- 75 **Total Account 447**
- 76 **Renewable Energy Credit Sales** – Pro forma revenue is based on 2010 test-year revenue for non-reoccurring renewable energy credit sales.
- 77 **Gas Not Consumed Sales Revenue** - This is the revenue for natural gas purchased for but not consumed for generation. Pro forma revenue is \$0

because all gas purchased is assumed to be used for generation, and included in Account 547.

78 **Total Account 456**

79 **Upstream Storage Revenue** – Pro forma revenue is based on the revenue for contract year September 2009 through August 2010.

80 **Total Revenue** – Sum of Accounts 447, 456, 453 and 454.

81 **Total Net Expense** – Total expense minus total revenue.

Idaho Public Utilities Commission
Office of the Secretary
RECEIVED

JUL 22 2010

Boise, Idaho

Avista Corp.
Market Purchases and Sales, Plant Generation and Fuel Cost Summary
Idaho Pro forma January 2012 - December 2012

	744	696	743	720	744	744	744	720	744	744	720	744	721	744
	Jan-12	Feb-12	Mar-12	Apr-12	May-12	Jun-12	Jul-12	Aug-12	Sep-12	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12		
Total	-30,777,782	-32,700,272	-1,620,331	-1,686,975	-2,725,280	-1,795,105	-3,659,995	-1,123,479	-2,603,150	-3,181,985	-4,272,952	-2,721,807		
Market Sales - Dollars	(964,271)	(65,939)	(48,253)	(63,710)	(139,883)	(139,650)	(94,771)	(29,228)	(65,704)	(76,021)	(101,939)	(60,335)		
Average Market Sales Price - \$/MWh	\$32.25	\$40.95	\$33.58	\$26.46	\$19.48	\$12.88	\$38.62	\$38.44	\$39.62	\$41.86	\$41.92	\$45.11		
Market Purchases - Dollars	\$21,270,969	\$2,497,676	\$3,071,657	\$1,705,130	\$715,570	\$656,982	\$1,366,180	\$3,779,867	\$1,238,438	\$900,225	\$1,046,532	\$1,710,152		
Market Purchases - MWh	526,017	66,578	83,266	48,980	23,081	30,484	35,048	79,332	29,317	19,018	20,358	31,658		
Average Market Purchase Price - \$/MWh	\$40.44	\$37.51	\$36.89	\$35.10	\$30.92	\$47.65	\$39.55	\$47.65	\$42.24	\$47.33	\$51.35	\$53.71		
Net Market Purchases (Sales) MWh	-428,254	639	36,014	-15,129	-116,803	-109,166	-59,723	50,104	-36,387	-57,003	-81,581	-28,497		
Net Market Purchases (Sales) \$MM	-48.9	1	47	-21	-157	-152	-80	67	-51	-77	-113	-38		
Average Sale and Purchase Price - \$/MWh	\$35.16	\$39.22	\$35.68	\$30.20	\$21.10	\$15.61	\$38.87	\$45.17	\$40.43	\$42.95	\$43.49	\$48.08		
Colstrip MWh	1,576,670	1,455,609	1,333,559	1,145,597	84,727	73,190	143,479	149,630	145,739	149,887	145,654	147,091		
Colstrip Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$12.55	\$12.44	\$12.50	\$12.49	\$13.14	\$13.14	\$13.35	\$12.49	\$12.43	\$12.42	\$12.42	\$12.42		
Colstrip Fuel Cost	\$19,786,717	\$1,669,689	\$1,792,447	\$1,469,703	\$1,113,234	\$976,795	\$1,792,065	\$1,859,368	\$1,809,574	\$1,862,171	\$1,808,646	\$1,831,365		
Kettle Falls MWh	317,561	28,923	30,759	21,338	11,919	5,005	26,247	32,304	31,580	32,883	31,956	31,676		
Kettle Falls Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$36.06	\$37.85	\$37.98	\$36.35	\$38.90	\$38.90	\$38.25	\$37.91	\$37.89	\$37.87	\$37.86	\$37.97		
Kettle Falls Fuel Cost	\$12,084,735	\$1,247,115	\$1,098,615	\$816,319	\$463,690	\$205,825	\$1,003,851	\$1,224,740	\$1,196,860	\$1,245,210	\$1,209,770	\$1,202,625		
Coyote Springs MWh	1,188,115	124,028	113,100	66,887	20,164	6,565	9,602	94,618	138,410	164,559	155,780	148,729		
Coyote Springs Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$31.64	\$32.01	\$31.85	\$31.75	\$32.38	\$32.50	\$30.29	\$30.53	\$30.99	\$30.91	\$32.22	\$33.85		
Coyote Springs Fuel Cost	\$37,596,863	\$3,869,562	\$3,601,760	\$2,123,833	\$632,402	\$212,575	\$312,066	\$2,865,655	\$4,447,353	\$5,086,884	\$5,019,819	\$5,035,085		
Lancaster MWh	1,185,630	140,847	116,168	71,000	22,525	4,960	11,704	88,573	128,974	133,136	161,612	156,582		
Lancaster Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$34.04	\$33.91	\$33.94	\$33.83	\$37.52	\$35.03	\$33.72	\$33.50	\$33.47	\$33.02	\$34.27	\$36.08		
Lancaster Fuel Cost	\$40,357,798	\$4,775,532	\$3,942,720	\$2,401,950	\$789,453	\$186,075	\$409,950	\$2,967,561	\$4,349,140	\$4,456,228	\$5,337,155	\$5,366,561		
Boulder Park MWh	672	126	431	57	6	0	0	2	1	1	4	25		
Boulder Park Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$49.05	\$49.39	\$49.10	\$47.74	\$45.52	\$46.54	\$46.23	\$46.54	\$46.54	\$47.30	\$49.15	\$51.40		
Boulder Park Fuel Cost	\$32,961	\$6,227	\$21,167	\$2,714	\$284	\$0	\$113	\$52	\$52	\$191	\$1,227	\$954		
Kettle Falls CT MWh	1,044	208	473	135	22	6	15	18	30	39	61	33		
Kettle Falls CT Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$47.24	\$47.88	\$46.29	\$44.13	\$44.18	\$44.45	\$44.82	\$45.12	\$45.23	\$45.86	\$47.65	\$49.83		
Kettle Falls CT Fuel Cost	\$49,308	\$9,936	\$22,497	\$6,267	\$978	\$206	\$682	\$802	\$1,350	\$1,771	\$2,897	\$1,659		
Rathdrum MWh	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Rathdrum Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42	\$60.42		
Rathdrum Fuel Cost	\$1,012	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Northeast MWh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Northeast Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85	\$69.85		
Northeast Fuel Cost	\$22	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22	\$0		
Total Fuel Expense	\$109,909,417	\$11,821,053	\$10,356,448	\$7,495,326	\$3,681,139	\$1,975,779	\$11,904,889	\$8,629,928	\$11,881,435	\$11,763,933	\$13,408,943	\$13,467,161		
Net Fuel and Purchase Expense	\$100,402,624	\$10,402,624	\$9,402,624	\$7,402,624	\$4,602,624	\$3,402,624	\$5,402,624	\$6,402,624	\$7,402,624	\$8,402,624	\$9,402,624	\$10,402,624		

Idaho Public Utilities Commission
Office of the Secretary
RECEIVED

JUL 22 2010

Boise, Idaho

Avista Corp
Pro forma January 2012 - December 2012
PCA Authorized Expense and Retail Sales

PCA Authorized Power Supply Expense - System Numbers (1)

	Jan-12	Feb-12	Mar-12	Apr-12	May-12	Jun-12	Jul-12	Aug-12	Sep-12	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12
Total	\$10,021,310	\$9,488,849	\$8,931,482	\$7,501,046	\$5,199,720	\$5,459,085	\$6,038,377	\$8,137,488	\$5,487,199	\$5,389,787	\$8,487,751	\$9,406,084
Account 555 - Purchased Power	\$32,040,452	\$2,782,387	\$2,974,645	\$2,292,106	\$1,591,007	\$1,196,694	\$2,810,000	\$3,098,192	\$3,020,517	\$3,121,464	\$3,032,500	\$3,048,073
Account 501 - Thermal Fuel	\$92,286,653	\$8,809,375	\$5,699,839	\$2,552,087	\$1,521,570	\$1,826,881	\$7,006,952	\$10,016,486	\$9,966,879	\$11,645,599	\$11,610,974	\$11,653,023
Account 547 - Natural Gas Fuel	\$41,242,419	\$3,506,311	\$2,407,426	\$2,969,857	\$3,622,790	\$2,565,744	\$4,524,873	\$1,347,351	\$3,637,286	\$4,057,325	\$5,112,513	\$3,844,549
Account 447 - Sale for Resale	\$172,632,863	\$19,424,794	\$15,198,639	\$9,375,362	\$4,689,506	\$5,916,915	\$11,330,456	\$19,904,814	\$14,837,308	\$16,099,526	\$18,018,711	\$20,262,631
Power Supply Expense	\$117,641,176	\$1,474,958	\$1,529,717	\$1,425,005	\$1,430,460	\$1,438,762	\$1,477,824	\$1,441,409	\$1,454,077	\$1,433,340	\$1,473,058	\$1,535,929
Transmission Expense	\$111,524,732	\$787,213	\$884,599	\$751,868	\$966,760	\$1,152,639	\$1,116,297	\$1,029,595	\$1,014,538	\$1,003,003	\$951,635	\$809,351

PCA Authorized Idaho Retail Sales

	Jan-12	Feb-12	Mar-12	Apr-12	May-12	Jun-12	Jul-12	Aug-12	Sep-12	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12
Total	289,985	259,697	238,672	220,869	217,447	208,768	233,883	228,505	225,098	238,187	259,330	302,333
Retail Sales (w/o Clearwater), MWh	436,153	37,454	34,984	36,085	38,584	36,578	37,638	37,607	35,099	36,129	38,274	39,650
Clearwater Paper Gen/Load												
Load Change Adjustment Rate	\$26.33 /MWh											

(1) Multiply system numbers by 34.84% to determine Idaho share.

REVISED JULY 21, 2011

JUL 22 2010

Boise, Idaho

Avista Corp.
Power Supply Pro forma - Idaho Jurisdiction
System Numbers - Jan 2010 - Dec 2010 Actual and Jan 2012 - Dec 2012 Pro Forma
Historic 2010 Loads Unadjusted, Without Actual ST Transactions

Line No.	Jan 10 - Dec 10		Jan 12 - Dec 12
	Actuals	Adjustment	Pro forma
555 PURCHASED POWER			
1	Modeled Short-Term Market Purchases	\$0	\$24,594
2	Actual Short-Term Market Purchases	159,193	-159,193
3	Rocky Reach	2,172	-2,172
4	Rocky Reach/Rock Island Purchase	0	11,384
5	Wells - Avista Share	1,400	499
6	Wells - Colville Tribe's Share	9,496	-9,496
7	Priest Rapids Project	5,609	785
8	Wanapum	-1,228	1,228
9	Grant Displacement	5,653	-5,653
10	Douglas Settlement	334	246
11	Lancaster Capacity Payment	21,475	578
12	Lancaster Variable O&M Payments	2,689	-223
13	Lancaster BPA Reserves	824	-824
14	WNP-3	13,920	-368
15	Deer Lake-IP&L	6	0
16	Small Power	1,079	13
17	Stimson	1,964	402
18	Spokane-Upriver	2,055	884
19	Black Creek Index Purchase	234	-234
20	Non-Monetary	90	-90
21	Contract A	6,789	-6,789
22	Contract B	6,745	-6,745
23	Contract C	6,658	-6,658
24	Contract D	7,556	-7,556
25	Clearwater Paper Co-Gen Purchase	18,720	-18,720
26	Ancillary Services	631	-631
27	Stateline Wind Purchase	3,016	530
28	Total Account 555	277,080	-184,209
557 OTHER EXPENSES			
29	Broker Commission Fees	366	0
30	REC Purchases (SMUD)	349	1
31	Natural Gas Fuel Purchases	119,116	-119,116
32	Total Account 557	119,831	-119,115
501 THERMAL FUEL EXPENSE			
33	Kettle Falls - Wood Fuel	10,551	1,534
34	Kettle Falls - Start-up Gas	30	0
35	Colstrip - Coal	15,984	3,803
36	Colstrip - Oil	139	0
37	Total Account 501	26,704	5,336
547 OTHER FUEL EXPENSE			
38	Coyote Springs Gas	53,491	-15,894
39	Coyote Springs 2 Gas Transportation	7,891	-58
40	Lancaster Gas	46,902	-6,544
41	Lancaster Gas Transportation	5,837	956
42	Lancaster Gas Transportation Optimization	0	-409
43	Gas Transportation for BP, NE and KFCT	32	0
44	Rathdrum Gas	545	-544
45	Northeast CT Gas	62	-62
46	Boulder Park Gas	505	-472
47	Kettle Falls CT Gas	185	-136
48	Total Account 547	115,450	-23,163

JUL 22 2010

Avista Corp.
Power Supply Pro forma - Idaho Jurisdiction
System Numbers - Jan 2010 - Dec 2010 Actual and Jan 2012 - Dec 2012 Pro Forma
Historic 2010 Loads Unadjusted, Without Actual ST Transactions

Boise, Idaho

Line No.	Jan 10 - Dec 10		Jan 12 - Dec 12	
	Actuals	Adjustment	Pro forma	
565 TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRICITY BY OTHERS				
49	WNP-3	789	0	789
50	Sand Dunes-Warden	9	0	9
51	Black Creek Wheeling	65	-65	0
52	Wheeling for System Sales & Purchases	321	0	321
53	PTP Transmission for Colstrip & Coyote	8,428	2	8,430
54	PTP Transmission for Lancaster	4,541	-38	4,503
55	BPA Townsend-Garrison Wheeling	1,173	0	1,173
56	Avista on BPA - Borderline	1,253	0	1,253
57	Kootenai for Worley	45	0	45
58	Sagle-Northern Lights	139	0	139
59	Garrison-Burke	337	0	337
60	PGE Firm Wheeling	644	-1	643
61	Total Account 565	17,744	-102	17,642
536 WATER FOR POWER				
62	Headwater Benefits Payments	853	0	853
549 MISC OTHER GENERATION EXPENSE				
63	Rathdrum Municipal Payment	160	0	160
64	TOTAL EXPENSE	557,822	-321,253	236,569
447 SALES FOR RESALE				
65	Modeled Short-Term Market Sales	0	27,333	27,333
66	Actual Short-Term Market Sales	219,096	-219,096	0
67	Peaker (PGE) Capacity Sale	1,749	0	1,749
68	Nichols Pumping Sale	1,693	688	2,381
69	Sovereign/Kaiser DES	80	0	80
70	Pend Oreille DES & Spinning	419	0	419
71	Northwestern Load Following	3,257	-3,257	0
72	NaturEner	551	-551	0
73	SMUD Sale - Energy and REC	27,761	-21,926	5,835
74	Ancillary Services	631	-631	0
75	Total Account 447	255,237	-217,440	37,797
456 OTHER ELECTRIC REVENUE				
76	Renewable Energy Credit Sales	700	0	700
77	Gas Not Consumed Sales Revenue	111,280	-111,280	0
78	Total Account 456	111,980	-111,280	700
453 SALES OF WATER AND WATER POWER				
79	Upstream Storage Revenue	282	0	282
80	TOTAL REVENUE	367,499	-328,720	38,779
81	TOTAL NET EXPENSE	190,323	7,468	197,791

REVISED JULY 21, 2011

Avista Corp.
Power Supply Pro forma - Washington Jurisdiction
System Numbers - Jan 2010 - Dec 2010 Actual and Jan 2012 - Dec 2012 Pro Forma
Weather Normalized 2010 Loads

Line No.	Jan 10 - Dec 10		Jan 12 - Dec 12
	Actuals	Adjustment	Pro forma
555 PURCHASED POWER			
1	\$0	\$20,836	\$20,836
2	159,193	-147,924	11,269
3	0	12,326	12,326
4	2,172	-2,172	0
5	0	11,384	11,384
6	1,400	499	1,899
7	9,496	-9,496	0
8	5,609	785	6,394
9	-1,228	1,228	0
10	5,653	-5,653	0
11	334	246	580
12	21,475	578	22,053
13	2,689	-223	2,466
14	824	-824	0
15	13,920	1,284	15,204
16	6	0	6
17	1,079	13	1,092
18	1,964	402	2,366
19	2,055	884	2,939
20	234	-234	0
21	90	-90	0
22	6,789	-6,789	0
23	6,745	-6,745	0
24	6,658	-6,658	0
25	7,556	-7,556	0
26	18,720	-18,720	0
27	631	-631	0
28	3,016	-3,016	0
29	277,080	-166,265	110,815
557 OTHER EXPENSES			
30	366	0	366
31	349	1	350
32	0		725
33	119,116	-119,116	0
34	119,831	-118,390	1,441
501 THERMAL FUEL EXPENSE			
35	10,551	1,534	12,085
36	30	0	30
37	15,984	3,803	19,787
38	139	0	139
39	26,704	5,336	32,040
547 OTHER FUEL EXPENSE			
40	53,491	-15,894	37,597
41	7,891	-58	7,833
42	46,902	-6,544	40,358
43	5,837	956	6,793
44	0	-409	-409
45	0	4,800	4,800
46	0	-113	-113
47	32	0	32
48	545	-544	1
49	62	-62	0
50	505	-472	33

Avista Corp.
Power Supply Pro forma - Washington Jurisdiction
System Numbers - Jan 2010 - Dec 2010 Actual and Jan 2012 - Dec 2012 Pro Forma
Weather Normalized 2010 Loads

Line No.	Jan 10 - Dec 10	Adjustment	Jan 12 - Dec 12	
	Actuals		Pro forma	
51	Kettle Falls CT Gas	185	-136	49
52	Total Account 547	115,450	-18,476	96,974
<u>565 TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRICITY BY OTHERS</u>				
53	WNP-3	789	0	789
54	Sand Dunes-Warden	9	0	9
55	Black Creek Wheeling	65	-65	0
56	Wheeling for System Sales & Purchases	321	0	321
57	PTP Transmission for Colstrip & Coyote	8,428	2	8,430
58	PTP Transmission for Lancaster	4,541	-38	4,503
59	BPA Townsend-Garrison Wheeling	1,173	0	1,173
60	Avista on BPA - Borderline	1,253	0	1,253
61	Kootenai for Worley	45	0	45
62	Sagle-Northern Lights	139	0	139
63	Garrison-Burke	337	0	337
64	PGE Firm Wheeling	644	-1	643
65	Total Account 565	17,744	-102	17,642
<u>536 WATER FOR POWER</u>				
66	Headwater Benefits Payments	853	0	853
<u>549 MISC OTHER GENERATION EXPENSE</u>				
67	Rathdrum Municipal Payment	160	0	160
68	TOTAL EXPENSE	557,822	-297,897	259,925
<u>447 SALES FOR RESALE</u>				
69	Modeled Short-Term Market Sales	0	29,773	29,773
70	Actual ST Market Sales - Physical	219,096	-218,234	862
71	Actual ST Market Sales - Financial M-to-M	0	423	423
72	Peaker (PGE) Capacity Sale	1,749	0	1,749
73	Nichols Pumping Sale	1,693	688	2,381
74	Sovereign/Kaiser DES	80	0	80
75	Pend Oreille DES & Spinning	419	0	419
76	Northwestern Load Following	3,257	-3,257	0
77	NaturEner	551	-551	0
78	SMUD Sale - Energy and REC	27,761	-21,926	5,835
79	Ancillary Services	631	-631	0
80	Total Account 447	255,237	-213,714	41,523
<u>456 OTHER ELECTRIC REVENUE</u>				
81	Renewable Energy Credit Sales	700	0	700
82	Gas Not Consumed Sales Revenue	111,280	-111,280	0
83	Total Account 456	111,980	-111,280	700
<u>453 SALES OF WATER AND WATER POWER</u>				
84	Upstream Storage Revenue	282	0	282
85	TOTAL REVENUE	367,499	-324,994	42,505
86	TOTAL NET EXPENSE	190,323	27,097	217,420